

# Reverse functional analysis on complex Hilbert spaces

Takeshi Yamazaki

Mathematical institute, Tohoku University

The 9th International Conference on Computability Theory  
and Foundations of Mathematics in Wuhan  
2019.03.25

A countable vector space  $A$  over  $\mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$  consists of a set  $|A| \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  with operations  $+$ ,  $\cdot$  and distinguished element  $0 \in |A|$  such that  $(|A|, +, \cdot, 0)$  satisfies the usual properties of a vector space over  $\mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$ .

### Definition 1 ( $\text{RCA}_0$ )

A (complex separable) Hilbert space  $H$  consists of a countable vector space  $A_H$  over  $\mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$  together with a function  $(, ) : A_H \times A_H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying

$$(1) \quad (x, x) \geq 0, \quad (x, y) = (y, x)$$

$$(2) \quad (ax + by, z) = a(x, z) + b(y, z), \quad (x, y) = \overline{(y, x)}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in A_H$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$ .

An element  $x$  of  $H$  is a sequence  $\langle x_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  from  $A_H$  such that  $\|x_n - x_m\| = \sqrt{\langle x_n - x_m, x_n - x_m \rangle} \leq 2^{-n}$  whenever  $n \leq m$ .

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space. A closed subspace  $M$  is defined as a separably closed subset of  $H$ , i.e, it is defined by a sequence  $\langle x_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  from  $H$  such that  $x \in M$  if and only if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\|x - x_n\| < \varepsilon$  for some  $n$ .

### Theorem 2 (RCA<sub>0</sub>, Avigad and Simic 06)

*Each of the following statements is equivalent to ACA:*

- (1) For every closed subspace  $M$  of a Hilbert space  $H$ , the orthogonal projection  $P_M$  for  $M$  exists.*
- (2) For every closed subspace  $M$  of  $H$  and every point  $x$  in  $H$ , the orthogonal projection of  $x$  on  $M$  exists.*
- (3) For every closed subspace  $M$  of  $H$  and every point  $x$  in  $H$ ,  $d(x, M)$  exists.*

For a subset  $A$  of  $H$ ,  $x \in A^\perp$  is an element such that  $(x, y) = 0$  for all  $y \in A$ .

### Theorem 3 (RCA<sub>0</sub>, Tanaka and Saito 96?)

*The following statement is equivalent to ACA: For every closed subspace  $M$  of a Hilbert space  $H$ , a closed subspace  $M^\perp$  exists.*

Note that if  $M^\perp$  may not exist, we can state  $H = M \oplus M^\perp$  by  $\mathcal{L}_2$ -formula. From Theorem 2, this holds.

### Proposition 4 (RCA<sub>0</sub>)

*The following statement is equivalent to ACA: For every closed subspace  $M$  of a Hilbert space  $H$ ,  $H = M \oplus M^\perp$*

## Theorem 5 (RCA<sub>0</sub>, Avigad and Simic 06)

*Any Hilbert space has an orthonormal basis.*

So two infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces are unitarily equivalent. Let  $\langle e_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  be an orthonormal basis of  $H$ . We have Parseval's identity:

$$\|x\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \quad \text{where } a_n = (x, e_n).$$

## Definition 6 ( $\text{RCA}_0$ )

A bounded linear operator  $T$  between Hilbert spaces  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , is a function  $T : A_{H_1} \rightarrow H_2$  such that

- (1)  $T$  is linear, i.e.,  $T(q_1x_1 + q_2x_2) = q_1T(x_1) + q_2T(x_2)$  for all  $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in A_{H_1}$ .
- (2) The norm of  $T$  is bounded, i.e., there exists a real number  $K$  such that  $\|T(x)\| \leq K\|x\|$  for all  $x \in A_{H_1}$ .

Then, for  $x = \langle x_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle \in H_1$ , we define  $T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x_n)$ . So we can regard  $T$  as  $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ .

A linear operator  $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  is bounded if and only if it is continuous. A linear functional  $T$  is a linear operator from a Hilbert space  $H$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

The Riesz representation theorem is the statement that any bounded linear functional  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ , has a unique vector  $y \in H$  such that  $T(x) = (x, y)$  for each  $x \in H$ .

## Fact 7 ( $\text{RCA}_0$ , Tanaka and Saito 96?)

The Riesz representation theorem is equivalent to ACA.

The proof is simple. To prove the Riesz representation theorem implies ACA, for an injective function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , consider  $T : l^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; e_n \mapsto \sum_{i < n} 2^{-f(i)}$ . Take  $y \in l^2$  such that  $T(x) = (x, y)$  for each  $x \in l^2$ , then  $\|y\| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-f(n)}$ .  $\square$

Let  $\langle x_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  be a sequence from  $H$  and  $x \in H$ . Define

- 1  $x_n \rightarrow x$  (w)  $\Leftrightarrow (x_n, y) \rightarrow (x, y)$  for all  $y \in H$ .
- 2  $x_n \rightarrow x$  (s)  $\Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| = 0$ .

### Proposition 8 ( $\text{RCA}_0$ )

- (1)  $x_n \rightarrow x$  (w) and  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$ , then  $x_n \rightarrow x$  (s)
- (2)  $x_n \rightarrow x$  (w) and  $y_n \rightarrow y$  (s), then  $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (x, y)$

To prove (2), we use the Uniform boundedness principle which is proved in  $\text{RCA}_0$ .

### Proposition 9 ( $\text{RCA}_0$ )

*The following statement is equivalent to ACA: any bounded sequence  $\langle x_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  from a Hilbert space has a weakly convergent subsequence.*

For a bounded linear operator  $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ ,  $T^* : H_2 \rightarrow H_1$  is the adjoint if  $(Tx, y) = (x, T^*y)$  for all  $x \in H_1$  and  $y \in H_2$ .

### Theorem 10 ( $\text{RCA}_0$ , Tanaka and Saito 96)

*The existence of the adjoint for any bounded linear operator is equivalent to ACA.*

In fact, the following statement already implies ACA: For any bounded linear operator  $T : l^2 \rightarrow l^2$  and any  $x \in l^2$ , there exists  $u \in l^2$  such that  $(Ty, x) = (y, u)$  for all  $y \in l^2$ .



Basic properties of the adjoint, if it exists, are shown in  $\text{RCA}_0$ .

Let  $\langle T_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  be a sequence of bounded linear operators from  $H_1$  to  $H_2$ , and  $T$  a bounded linear operator from  $H_1$  to  $H_2$ . Define

- 1  $T_n \rightarrow T (w) \Leftrightarrow T_n(x) \rightarrow T(x) (w)$  for all  $x \in H_1$ .
- 2  $T_n \rightarrow T (s) \Leftrightarrow T_n(x) \rightarrow T(x) (s)$  for all  $x \in H_1$ .
- 3  $T_n \rightarrow T$  uniformly  $\Leftrightarrow$  there is a sequence  $\langle r_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  of nonnegative reals such that  $\|T_n(x) - T(x)\| \leq r_n$  for all  $n$  and  $x \in H_1$  and  $\lim_n r_n = 0$ .

Let  $T_n, T : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  and  $S_n, S : H_2 \rightarrow H_3$ .

If  $T_n \rightarrow T (s)$  and  $S_n \rightarrow S (s)$ , then  $S_n T_n \rightarrow ST (s)$ .

If  $T_n \rightarrow T (w)$  and their adjoints exist, then  $T_n^* \rightarrow T^* (w)$ .

These and the uniform-continuity versions are proved in  $\text{RCA}_0$ .

### Theorem 11 (Banach-Steinhaus Theorem)

Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be Hilbert spaces. Let  $\langle T_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  be a sequence of bounded linear operators from  $H_1$  to  $H_2$ . If  $\langle (T_n x, y) : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  is convergent for any  $x, y \in H_1$ , then there exists a bounded linear operator  $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  such that

---

$T_n \rightarrow T$  (w).

### Theorem 12 (RCA<sub>0</sub>)

*The Banach-Steinhaus theorem is equivalent to ACA.*

For self-adjoint operators  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  over  $H$ ,  $T_1 \leq T_2$  if  $(T_1 x, x) \leq (T_2 x, x)$  for all  $x \in H$ . If  $0 \leq T$ , then  $0 \leq T^n$ , and if  $0 \leq T \leq I$ , then  $T^n \leq T^m$  for  $m \leq n$ , by the usual induction.

Using the above version of the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, we can show this.

### Theorem 13 ( $\text{RCA}_0$ )

*The following statement is equivalent to ACA: Let  $\langle T_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  be an increasing sequence of self-adjoint operators bounded some self-adjoint operator  $S$ . Then it strongly converges to some self-adjoint operator  $T$ .*

For a closed subspace  $M$ , if the orthogonal projection  $P_M$  exists,  $P_M$  is a positive self-adjoint operator which is idempotent. Conversely, given an idempotent self-adjoint operator  $P$ , we define a closed subspace  $M$  by  $\langle P(a) : a \in A_H \rangle$ . Then  $P = P_M$ .

## Theorem 14 ( $\text{RCA}_0$ )

*Each of the following statements is equivalent to ACA:*

- (1) *Any increasing sequence  $\langle P_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  strongly converges to some projection.*
- (2) *Any decreasing sequence  $\langle P_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  strongly converges to some projection.*

A bounded linear operator  $U : H \rightarrow H$  is an isometry if  $\|U(x)\| = \|x\|$  for all  $x \in H$ . A surjective isometry is said to be unitary.

A bounded linear operator  $U : H' \rightarrow H$  is a “partial” isometry on  $H$  if  $\|U(x)\| = \|x\|$  for all  $x \in H'$ .

### Proposition 15 ( $\text{RCA}_0$ )

*The following statement is equivalent to ACA: For any bounded linear operator  $T$  of a Hilbert space  $H$ , there are a positive self-adjoint  $Q$  and a “partial” isometry  $U$  such that  $\|Qx\| = \|Tx\|$  for all  $x \in H$  and  $T = UQ$ .*

if  $T$  is normal, that is,  $T^*T = TT^*$ , then the above  $U$  can be taken as unitary, as usual.

The idea of the proof. For an injective function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , consider  $T : l^2 \rightarrow l^2$ ;  $e_0 \mapsto e_0$ ,  $e_n \mapsto 2^{-f(n-1)/2} e_0$   $n > 0$ . Then  $\|Q^2 e_0\|^2 = 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-f(n)}$ .  $\square$

We say that a bounded operator  $T$  on  $H$  is invertible if  $T$  is a bijection of  $H$  and its inverse is also bounded. The spectrum of  $T$ , denoted by  $\sigma(T)$ , is the set of complex numbers  $z$  for which  $T - zI$  is not invertible.

### Proposition 16 ( $\text{RCA}_0$ )



*If  $T$  is self-adjoint, then  $\sigma(T)$  is a bounded subset of reals.*

$\text{ACA}_0$  implies  $\sigma(T)$  is closed.

### Proposition 17 ( $\Pi_1^1\text{-CA}_0$ )

*Any compact self-adjoint operator  $T$  has a sequence  $\langle P_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  of projections and a sequence  $\langle r_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  of real numbers such that  $P_n P_m = 0$  for any  $n \neq m$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = 0$  and  $T_n = \sum_{i < n} r_i P_i \rightarrow T$  uniformly.*

# References

-  Stephen G. Simpson, *Subsystems of second order arithmetic, 2nd ed.*, Perspectives in Logic, Cambridge university press, 2009.
-  Jeremy Avigad and Ksenija Simic, *Fundamental Notions of Analysis in Subsystems of Second-Order Arithmetic*, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, 139:138-184, 2006.